Equality Impact Assessment

Introductory Information

Budget/Project name	Budget/Project name Household Support Fund					
Proposal type ● Budget ○ Project						
Decision Type ○ Cabinet ○ Cabinet Committee (e.g. Cabox) ○ Leader ● Individual Cabinet Member ○ Executive Director/Director ○ Officer Decisions (Non-Key) ○ Council (e.g. Budget and Hool) ○ Regulatory Committees (e.g.	using Revenue Account)					
Lead Cabinet Member Tom	Hunt					
Entered on Q Tier ○ Yes • No						
Year(s) ● 21/22 ○ 23/23 ○ 23/24	0 24/25 0 other					
EIA date: 28/09/21, up	dated 01/03/2023, 26/06/2023					
EIA Lead						
Adele Robinson	Ed Sexton					
 Annemarie Johnston 	Louise Nunn					
O Bashir Khan	0					
Beverlev Law	0					

Lead Equality Objective

Alex Westran

Person filling in this EIA form

 Understanding 	Workforce	 Leading the city in 	 Break the cycle and
Communities	Diversity	celebrating &	improve life chances
		promoting inclusion	

Lead Director for Project

Ajman Ali

Cross-Portfolio		Portfolio	
• Yes	O No	Neighbourhoods	

Is the EIA joint with another organisation (e.g. NHS)?

○ Yes • No

Brief aim(s) of the proposal and the outcome(s) you want to achieve

The aim of the proposal is to use the Household Support Fund to support vulnerable people who are experiencing hardship. We want to ensure that the fund goes to those most in need and that the distribution of the fund helps alleviate the impact of the cost-of-living crisis for those who are affected by inequalities. This EIA has been completed in conjunction with a Cost-of-living cohort analysis which has looked at which groups and communities are disproportionately impacted by the cost of living crisis, where support is already being received and which groups are not receiving enough support.

Impact

Under the <u>Public Sector Equality Duty</u> we have to pay due regard to the need to:

- eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation
- advance equality of opportunity
- foster good relations

More information is available on the <u>Council website</u> including the <u>Community Knowledge</u> Profiles.

Note the EIA should describe impact before any action/mitigation. If there are both negatives and positives, please outline these – positives will be part of any mitigation. The action plan should detail any mitigation.

Overview

Briefly describe how the proposal helps to meet the Public Sector Duty outlined above

The objective of the Household Support Fund (HSF) is to provide support to vulnerable households who most need it through the cost-of-living crisis. It aims to assist households via small grants to meet daily needs such as food, clothing, and utilities

The nature of the Covid-19 virus and latterly the cost-of-living crisis has not been felt evenly across all communities and what we have seen is there is a strong relationship between socio-economic disadvantage and health inequality which will be further compounded by the economic outfall as the cost-of-living crisis impacts. During the delivery of the HSF and the cost-of-living crisis generally so far, we have collected data and consulted with community leaders to understand the scale of the impact of the crisis on different groups. Our understanding from the response phase supporting communities in Sheffield is that the people who were already classed as disadvantaged before this crisis are growing more disadvantaged, and that more people will be falling into vulnerability due to stagnant wages, lower employment levels, then compounded by rising costs for energy, food and essentials. Analysis of HSF spend between October 2021 through to January 2023 demonstrates this, with 33% of spend going towards supporting individuals with energy and water costs, and a further 17% towards food (excluding Free School Meals cohort food voucher funding, which represented 28% of spend at this point). With continued inflation, it is anticipated that need in these areas is only likely to increase. Supporting people financially when they experience a crisis is a key component to preventing further hardship that they may be unable to recover from if help is not provided. We recognise that groups in our communities who are already more affected by inequalities are more like General support, we are using the

data that we collect and the insight gained from consultation to ensure that our support is directed towards those who need it most. We are also using census data, national research and analysis, DWP data and data from other Council services such as Council Tax and Benefits to assess which groups are disproportionately impacted and which groups are in most need of support through the HSF and connected processes.

Equality implications will be monitored against awards made and will be considered as part of the ongoing delivery of the scheme and will be coordinated by the Cost-of-living strategy groups (Strategic, Tactical and Operational).

Communication with groups that are identified as needing additional support in raising awareness of the scheme and help to apply will be targeted, support to help those groups apply will be mainly facilitated by the Trusted Assessor process, but also using translation services on the community helpline and by in person sessions in communities, as well as comms campaigns and through engagement with community and voluntary sector partners.

Data and consultation insight will also help us decide where targeted awards should be made. Targeted awards will be made where we can determine that particular groups have not already received energy support or where we can determine that certain cohorts are not accessing the HSF in the levels expected in comparison to population levels and deprivation levels.

Please see the <u>attached link</u> to view the background paper relating to the cohort analysis

See supporting demographic information via the JSNA and Community Knowledge Profiles.

http://www.sheffield.gov.uk/home/your-city-council/community-knowledge-profiles.html

Impacts

Proposal has an impact on

Health	
● Age	Carers
Disability	 Voluntary/Community & Faith Sectors
 Pregnancy/Maternity 	○ Cohesion
● Race	Partners
Religion/Belief	Poverty & Financial Inclusion
● Sex	O Armed Forces
	O Other

Give details in sections below.

Health				
	posal have a significant fects on the wider deter	-		being
● Yes	if Yes, complete secti	ion below		
Staff O Yes	Impact ○ Positive	O Neutral	Negative	
	Level O None	O Low	O <mark>Medium</mark>	O High

Details of impact

People with disabilities are more vulnerable to rising costs of essentials than many other groups, because energy and food make up a greater share of their budgets, partly because of additional needs caused by their health problems. Those in work are also more likely to be in low-income roles. Disabled people are almost three times as likely to live in material deprivation than the rest of the population (Resolution Foundation). Around 41% of disabled adults said they couldn't afford to keep their homes warm, as opposed to 23% of the rest of the population (Resolution Foundation). This year, Citizen's Advice supported a record number of disabled people with cost-of-living issues, with people who are permanently sick or disabled being the most common occupational group to be assisted with crisis support (Citizens Advice Cost of Living Dashboard). Disability Sheffield also report that they expect to see a delayed impact of cost-of-living on disabled people, potentially due to the reduction in the general cost-of-living support provided to households, as well as the cumulative effect of ongoing high food and energy costs.

The proposal that will be taken to S&R committee sets out changes to the application process for HSF that will remove the evidence burden for those suffering from long term health conditions who are registered disabled. Applicants who meet this criteria and who are in receipt of means tested benefits will automatically receive an award and will not be required to provide evidence of their hardship.

Staff and customers are able to access the HSF fund if they meet the eligibility criteria.

Customers ● Yes	Impact Positive	O Neutral	Negative	
	Level O None	O Low	Medium	O High
Details of impact				
Same as staff – See above	e			
Comprehensive Health I	mpact Asses	sment being	completed	
○ Yes • No				
Please attach health impac	t assessment a	as a supportin	g document belov	V.
Public Health Leads has	signed off th	e health imp	act(s) of this E	[A
○ Yes ● No				
Health Lead				

Age					
Staff O Yes	• No	Impact Positive	Neutral	Negative	
		Level O None	O Low	O Medium	O High
higher age 30-34s - JR notably sin- have lower own their p hard-hit by benefits the Universal C single peop governs the Universal C single peop shared prop payable yes single peop	verty rates for brackets (20° kF). However, gle people un levels of earn property, with increased rean older people and earn that called ander 35 erent that called under 35 erenty. Rates at further. Privale young people set out in tegory, award	% for 16-24s, a again, within to again, who are noted by a thin and upwards. Looke the covered by a thin as this is base and a looke also been a the form 2 products will also be possible.	is opposed to his, there are living independs and be me rented accorded are also exple are found the frozen since aperty is also expless a	higher than for the 17% for 25-29s specific groups on the primary ore likely to rent modation being ntitled to significate as opposed to lowance, the figure of the primary	and 18% for of concern – people tend to concern – people tend to concern – people tend to concern than grantly less from ance for £368.74 for the element of leso lower for grantly aroom in a ling the amount of option for ousing.
Customers O Yes	○ No	Impact Positive	O Neutral	○ Negative	
		Level ○ None	O Low	Medium	O High
Details of i					
Same as st	aff – See abo	ve			

Disability	- See healt	<mark>:h</mark>			
Staff O Yes	● No	Impact ○ Positive	O Neutral	Negative	
		Level O None	O Low	O Medium	O High
Details of in	mpact				
Customers ● Yes	O No	Impact O Positive	O Neutral	Negative	
		Level O None	O Low	Medium	O High
Details of in	mpact				

Pregnancy/	Maternity	- Not app	<mark>licable</mark>		
Staff O Yes	● No	Impact O Positive	O Neutral	Negative	
		Level O None	O Low	○ Medium	O High
Details of im	pact				
Customony		Turana			
Customers ● Yes	○ No	Impact Positive	O Neutral	Negative	
		Level O None	• Low	○ Medium	O High
Details of im	pact				

ace								
Staff		Impact						
Yes	No	Positive	0 1	Neutral	0	Negative		
		Level						
		None	\circ I	Low	<u> </u>	Medium	0	High
etails of	impact							
Nationally,	JRF findings sug	gest there are hug	ge varia	ations in p	overt	y rate by ethi	nicity. F	or
•		people in househo		•		_		•
•	•	, with rates for pe	•			•		
	•	also having very h		•				
	• •	households heade	•			•		
		ery recent polling		•	•			
		ing meals compare						
		ects inequalities the vith different com				•		
		ey information and		_		_		
•		t they are entitled						
	• •	ages and formats		_	_			
•		mmunity organisa						
support.		minumey organisa		p. 011	2 4000		· other	
in hardship living costs guidance co asylum see community	o, relying on asyl i. We have not o urrently restricts kers, to individu y care need, or a	ohort includes asy um support payme considered this gross payments to any lals with needs bey child's wellbeing agh from Social Car	ents of oup in o people yond d is at-ris	f around £ detail here e without lestitution sk. Access	240 pe e, as F recou n, for e	r week to me lousehold Sup Irse to public example wher	et day oport F funds, e there	to day und including e is a
Customer Yes	s O No	Impact ■ Positive	0 1	Neutral	0	Negative		
		1						
		Level ○ None	0 1	Low		Medium	0	High
Details of See above								

Staff ○ Yes ● No	Impact ○ Positive	O Neutral	Negative	
	Level ○ None	O Low	O Medium	O High
Details of impact				
Customers ● Yes ○ No	Impact Positive	● Neutral	Negative	
	Level ○ None	O Low	Medium	O High
Details of impact				
Sex				
Staff ○ Yes ● No	Impact O Positive	O Neutral	Negative	
	Level O None	C Low	○ Medium	O High
Although the Cohort Ana creates inequalities in the of the cohort analysis the parent families are more can disproportionately and Also people living independently, these how males are disproportional Both of the above cohor the burden to evidence in the parent families are disproportional both of the above cohor the burden to evidence in the parent families are disproportional both of the above cohor the burden to evidence in the parent families are disproportional both of the above cohor the burden to evidence in the parent families are disproportional burden to evidence in the parent families are disproportional burden to evidence in the parent families are disproportional burden to evidence in the parent families are more can disproportional burden to evidence in the parent families are more can disproportional burden to evidence in the parent families are more can disproportional burden to evidence in the parent families are more can disproportional burden to evidence in the parent families are more can disproportional burden to evidence in the parent families are more can disproportional burden to evidence in the parent families are disproportional burden to evidence in the parent families are disproportional burden to evidence in the parent families are disproportional burden to evidence in the parent families are disproportional burden to evidence in the parent families are disproportional burden to evidence in the parent families are disproportional burden to evidence in the parent families are disproportional burden to evidence in the parent families are disproportional burden to evidence in the parent families are disproportional burden to evidence in the parent families are disproportional burden to evidence in the parent families are disproportional burden to evidence in the parent families are disproportional burden to evidence in the parent families are disproportional burden to evidence in the parent families are disproportional burden to evidence in the parent families are disproportional burde	e sense of finarere were key fine likely to exper ffect woman. endently and peuseholds are mately impacted.	ncial hardship andings that relations that relations that relations the control of the control o	and poverty, wit ated to sex. For and those famil under 25 and live male, meaning	hin other areas example single ies and this in this group, d the removal
Customers ○ Yes ● No	Impact O Positive	O Neutral	O Negative	

Religion/Belief - Not applicable

	Level O None	O Low	O Medium	O High
Details of impact				
See above				

Sexual Orientati	on – <mark>Not applic</mark>	<mark>able</mark>		
Staff ○ Yes • No	Impact ○ Positive	Neutral	Negative	
	Level O None	O Low	O Medium	O High
Details of impact				
Customers	Impact			
○ Yes • No	Positive	O Neutral	 Negative 	
	Level O None	O Low	O Medium	O High
Details of impact				

Transgende	er – <mark>Not a</mark>	<mark>pplicable</mark>			
Staff O Yes	• No	Impact O Positive	O Neutral	Negative	
		Level O None	O Low	○ Medium	O High
Details of im	pact				
Customers		Impact		0 N	
O Yes	● No	O Positive	Neutralage 153	○ Negative	

		Level ○ None	O Low	O Medium	O High
Details of in	npact				
Carers					
Staff O Yes	• No	Impact Positive	Neutral	Negative	
		Level O None	O Low	Medium	○ High
Details of in	npact				
as those who food and be up groups. Many carers I themselves are further below ability to increath that many car benefits of the carers and this group. Those in recommendations are the second that the sec	ive with an incre more likely accepted to buy remove likely accepted to the control of the person that as is an important accepted to force the control of the person that as is an important accepted to force the control of the control of the person that as is an important accepted to force the control of	dividual with a disto be impacted by so often less likelome. Anecdotally im Carer's Allowathey care for. Maant consideration	ponsibilities, we mable to keep to sability or long-ty cost-of-living by to be able to the voluntary ance, due to the lary people also when consider	term health condition issues, something to work, thereby restrested potential impact of do not recognise the ring how to target something to with an enhanced	ave run out of than other on, who hat is considered icting their malso report f this on the lemselves as upport at this
as those who food and be used groups. Many carers I themselves are further below ability to increating that many carbenefits of the carers and this group. Those in recently also, we the applicant series and the series are the series and the series are the series and the series are the ser	ive with an incre more likely. Carers are alease their incorers do not clae person that it is an important of carer, where an unp	dividual with a disto be impacted by the solution of the less likely ome. Anecdotally im Carer's Allowathey care for. May ant consideration are allowance will baid carer is also ger be required	ponsibilities, we mable to keep to sability or long-ty cost-of-living to be able to the long people also when consider to be provided to in receipt of	term health condition issues, something to work, thereby restrict potential impact of do not recognise the ring how to target so with an enhanced other means test the steel of the south and the steel of the steel	ave run out of than other on, who hat is considered icting their malso report f this on the lemselves as upport at this
as those who food and be used groups. Many carers I themselves are further below ability to increate that many care benefits of the carers and this group. Those in records.	ive with an incre more likely. Carers are alease their incorers do not clae person that it is an important of carer, where an unp	dividual with a disto be impacted be impacted be so often less likelome. Anecdotally im Carer's Allowathey care for. Maant consideration as allowance will baid carer is also	ponsibilities, we mable to keep to sability or long-ty cost-of-living to be able to the long people also when consider to be provided to in receipt of	term health condition issues, something to work, thereby restrict potential impact of do not recognise the ring how to target so with an enhanced other means test the steel of the south and the steel of the steel	ave run out of than other on, who hat is considered icting their malso report f this on the lemselves as upport at this
as those who food and be used groups. Many carers I themselves are further below ability to increate that many care benefits of the carers and this group. Those in recently HSF, also, we the applicant	ive with an incre more likely accepts are all ease their incorers do not clare person that it is is an important will no long	dividual with a disto be impacted be impacted be so often less likelome. Anecdotally im Carer's Allowathey care for. Maant consideration as allowance will baid carer is also ger be required	ponsibilities, we mable to keep to sability or long-ty cost-of-living to be able to the voluntary ance, due to the my people also when consider to evidence here to evidence here.	term health condition issues, something to work, thereby restres potential impact of do not recognise the ring how to target so with an enhanced other means test pardship.	ave run out of than other on, who hat is considered icting their malso report f this on the lemselves as upport at this
as those who food and be used groups. Many carers I themselves are further below ability to increate that many care benefits of the carers and this group. Those in recently HSF, also, we the applicant	ive with an incre more likely of Carers are all ease their incorers do not clare person that it is is an important will no long. No	dividual with a distobe impacted be impacted by so often less likely ome. Anecdotally im Carer's Allowathey care for. Maant consideration and carer is also ger be required Impact Positive Level	ponsibilities, we mable to keep to sability or long-ty cost-of-living by to be able to the voluntary ance, due to the any people also when consider to evidence here. Neutral	term health condition issues, something to work, thereby restressector Liaison Teams to do not recognise the ring how to target something how to target something. Negative	ave run out of than other on, who hat is considered icting their malso report f this on the emselves as upport at this d award via the ted benefits,

Cohesion - Not applicable				
Staff ○ Yes ● No	Impact ○ Positive ○ Neutral	Negative		
	Level ○ None ○ Low	○ Medium ○ High		
Details of impact				
Customers ○ Yes • No	Impact ○ Positive ○ Neutral	Negative		
	Level O None Page 155	○ Medium ○ High		

Details of in	mpact				
artners -	Not appl	<mark>icable</mark>			
Staff O Yes	O No	Impact O Positive	○ Neutral	Negative	
		Level O None	O Low	O Medium	O High
Details of ir	mpact				
Customers O Yes	O No	Impact O Positive	O Neutral	Negative	
		Level O None	O Low	O Medium	O High
Details of in	mpact				
overty &	Financial	Inclusion			
Staff • Yes	O No	Impact ● Positive	O Neutral	Negative	
		Level O None	O Low	O Medium	● High
impacted by	targeted wi	living, and also	with comms a	support available nd toolkits to assoverty and impr	sist customers
Customers • Yes	O No	Impact Positive	Neutral	Negative	

	Level			
	None	○ Low	 Medium 	High
etails of impact				
The Cohort Analysis had isproportionately impact The form 2 proposals a experience poverty or I highlighted by the cohosupport to apply, along receive an award.	icted by matters re designed to e ess likely to be irt analysis, are	s relating to poensure that the able to access given enhance	overty and finance ose who are most support when it ed awards or are	ial inclusion. Ilikely to is needed, as provided with

Armed Force	es – <mark>Not a</mark>	<mark>applicable</mark>			
Staff O Yes	■ No	Impact O Positive	Neutral	Negative	
		Level O None	O Low	O Medium	O High
Details of imp	act				
Customers		Impact			
O Yes	• No	Positive	O Neutral	 Negative 	
		Level O None	O Low	O Medium	O High
Details of imp	act				

Other					
Staff O Yes	● No	Please specia	fy		
		Impact O Positive	Neutral	Negative	
		Level O None	O Low	O Medium	O High

Details of impact				
Customers ○ Yes • No	Please specif	īy		
	Impact			
	Positive	Neutral	 Negative 	
	Level O None	O Low	O Medium	O High
Details of impact				
Cumulative Imp	act			
Jumulative Imp	act			
Proposal has a cumular	-			
• Yes O No)			
YesNoYear on Year	• Across a Co	mmunity of Ic	dentity/Interest	
• Yes O No)	mmunity of Io	dentity/Interest	
YesNoYear on YearGeographical Area	• Across a Co	mmunity of Ic	dentity/Interest	
 Yes No Year on Year Geographical Area If yes, details of impact Some communities will to	Across a CoOther De more impacted	ed by multiple	e factors affecting	
 Yes No Year on Year Geographical Area If yes, details of impact Some communities will be economic disadvantage.	Across a CoOtherDe more impactedData showing n	ed by multiple	e factors affecting	will be used to
 Yes No Year on Year Geographical Area If yes, details of impact Some communities will be economic disadvantage. target awards and engage	Across a CoOtherDe more impactedData showing notesData showing notes	ed by multiple nultiple indice isadvantage i	e factors affecting es of deprivation v s identified. Thos	will be used to se who receive
 Yes No Year on Year Geographical Area If yes, details of impact Some communities will be economic disadvantage.	Across a Co Other De more impacted Data showing in gement where downood are impacted who are impacted who are impacted to the control of the	ed by multiple nultiple indice isadvantage is ed by more th	e factors affecting es of deprivation v s identified. Thos an one factor ma	will be used to se who receive ay receive
 Yes No Year on Year Geographical Area If yes, details of impact Some communities will the economic disadvantage. target awards and engage an award from the HSF	Across a Co Other De more impacted Data showing in gement where downoon are impacted to their award a	ed by multiple nultiple indice isadvantage i ed by more th nd may receiv	e factors affecting es of deprivation v s identified. Thos an one factor ma ve an automatic a	will be used to se who receive ay receive
 Yes No Year on Year Geographical Area If yes, details of impact Some communities will be economic disadvantage. target awards and engage an award from the HSF multiple enhancements of cumulative impact is acknowledged.	Across a Co Other De more impacted by the compact of the compact	ed by multiple nultiple indice isadvantage is ed by more th nd may receiv ne payment ca	e factors affecting es of deprivation v s identified. Thos an one factor ma ve an automatic a alculation.	will be used to se who receive ay receive
 Yes No Year on Year Geographical Area If yes, details of impact Some communities will be economic disadvantage. target awards and engage an award from the HSF multiple enhancements	Across a Co Other De more impacted by the property of the compact	ed by multiple nultiple indice isadvantage is ed by more th nd may receiv ne payment ca	e factors affecting es of deprivation v s identified. Thos an one factor ma ve an automatic a alculation.	will be used to se who receive ay receive
 Yes No Year on Year Geographical Area If yes, details of impact Some communities will be economic disadvantage. target awards and engage an award from the HSF multiple enhancements is cumulative impact is ack Proposal has geograph Yes No 	Across a Co Other De more impacted by the control of the control	ed by multiple nultiple indice isadvantage is ed by more th nd may receiv ne payment ca	e factors affecting es of deprivation v s identified. Thos an one factor ma ve an automatic a alculation.	will be used to se who receive ay receive
● Yes ○ No ○ Year on Year ○ Geographical Area If yes, details of impact Some communities will be economic disadvantage. target awards and engage an award from the HSF multiple enhancements cumulative impact is ack Proposal has geograph ● Yes ○ No If Yes, details of geograph	Across a Co Other De more impacted pata showing in gement where downoon are impacted to their award a knowledged in the control impact across thical impact across thical impact across the control imp	ed by multiple nultiple indice isadvantage is ed by more the nd may receive payment caross Sheffield	e factors affecting es of deprivation v s identified. Thos an one factor ma ve an automatic a alculation.	will be used to se who receive ay receive award. So
● Yes ○ No ○ Year on Year ○ Geographical Area If yes, details of impact Some communities will be economic disadvantage. target awards and engage an award from the HSF multiple enhancements cumulative impact is ack Proposal has geograph ● Yes ○ No If Yes, details of geograph Certain geographical are socio-economic disadvantage.	Across a Co Other De more impacted by the control of the control	ed by multiple nultiple indice isadvantage is ed by more the nd may receive payment carross Sheffield impacted by it analysis has	e factors affecting is of deprivation was identified. Those an one factor make an automatic and alculation.	will be used to se who receive by receive award. So
● Yes ○ No ○ Year on Year ○ Geographical Area If yes, details of impact Some communities will be economic disadvantage. target awards and engage an award from the HSF multiple enhancements cumulative impact is ack Proposal has geograph ● Yes ○ No If Yes, details of geograph Certain geographical are	Across a Co Other De more impacted by the control of the control	ed by multiple nultiple indice isadvantage is ed by more the nd may receive payment carross Sheffield impacted by it analysis has	e factors affecting is of deprivation was identified. Those an one factor make an automatic and alculation.	will be used to se who receive by receive award. So
● Yes ○ No ○ Year on Year ○ Geographical Area If yes, details of impact Some communities will be economic disadvantage. target awards and engage an award from the HSF multiple enhancements cumulative impact is ack Proposal has geograph ● Yes ○ No If Yes, details of geograph Certain geographical are socio-economic disadvar engagement and communications.	Across a Co Other De more impacted by the property of the compact	ed by multiple nultiple indice isadvantage is de by more the nd may receive payment carross Sheffield impacted by it analysis has being targeted	e factors affecting is of deprivation was identified. Those an one factor make an automatic and alculation.	will be used to se who receive by receive award. So
● Yes ○ No ○ Year on Year ○ Geographical Area If yes, details of impact Some communities will be economic disadvantage. target awards and engage an award from the HSF multiple enhancements cumulative impact is ack Proposal has geograph ● Yes ○ No If Yes, details of geograph Certain geographical are socio-economic disadvantage.	Across a Co Other De more impacted by the property of the compact	ed by multiple nultiple indice isadvantage is de by more the nd may receive payment carross Sheffield impacted by it analysis has being targeted	e factors affecting is of deprivation was identified. Those an one factor make an automatic and alculation.	will be used to se who receive by receive award. So
● Yes ○ No ○ Year on Year ○ Geographical Area If yes, details of impact Some communities will be economic disadvantage. target awards and engage an award from the HSF multiple enhancements of cumulative impact is ack Proposal has geograph ● Yes ○ No If Yes, details of geograph Certain geographical are socio-economic disadvarengagement and communications.	Across a Co Other De more impacted by the Across a Co Data showing not a showing not a showing not a cross a color of the Across and the Across are being a color of the Across and the Across are being a color of the Across a co	ed by multiple nultiple indice isadvantage is ed by more the nd may receive payment can see that the payment can see that the payment can alysis has eing targeted the see that the see tha	e factors affecting is of deprivation is identified. Those an one factor make an automatic and alculation. Id multiple factors as highlighted these to these areas.	will be used to se who receive by receive award. So

Action Plan and Supporting Evidence

Action Plan

an ongoing piece of work, to be taken forward by the Cost-of-living Support Hub.
Supporting Evidence (Please detail all your evidence used to support the EIA) Please see Cohort Analysis
Consultation
Consultation required ● Yes ● No
If consultation is not required please state why
Are Staff who may be affected by these proposals aware of them ● Yes ○ No
Are Customers who may be affected by these proposals aware of them ○ Yes
If you have said no to either please say why
Part of the work to raise awareness of the Household Support Fund has identified that not everyone who is eligible is aware that they are eligible. Cohort data as helped us to identify those who may struggle to access the fund or who may be more impacted by socio-economic disadvantage. Engagement and communications campaigns are being targeted where disadvantage is identified.
Summary of overall impact
Summary of overall impact
Medium impact
Summary of evidence
Cost-of-living dashboard and community helpline dashboard data. Cohort Analysis
Changes made as a result of the EIA
Targeted comms, engagement and support to apply. Enhanced awards dependent on cohort, and the removal of the requirement to provide evidence of hardship if you are part of a disproportionately impacted cohort.





